

SPE de Argentina Asociación Civil

# VACA MUERTA – THE JOURNEY HAS JUST BEGUN

OVERVIEW, RECENT TRENDS AND CHALLENGES

May 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2023

Jorge Ponce – Projects Coordinator



CAPEX

### **Disclaimer, Copyrights and Legal Notice**

This presentation is for non-profit, illustrative and general educational purposes only.

I do not make any warranty, express or implied, or assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represent that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Every picture or drawing used to describe a tool or system has been only utilized for illustration purposes and has been properly identified and remains as a property of their respective owners / authors.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by myself.

Further, while I have taken all reasonable steps to ensure that everything published is accurate I do not accept any responsibility for any errors or resulting loss or damage whatsoever or howsoever caused and readers and practitioners have the responsibility to thoroughly check these aspects for themselves.

This presentation or any of its contents may be reproduced, copied, modified or adapted, subject to inclusion of presentation's title, author, date and copyright notice of other authors.

# Bio – Ing. Jorge Enrique Ponce

- ✓ Currently working at CAPEX S.A. as Project Coordinator
- ✓ Chemical Engineer (Universidad Nacional de la Patagonia Arg.)
- ✓ Post degree in Project Management (Universidad de Belgrano Arg.)
- ✓+30 years of experience. Companies I worked for: Wintershall, Apache, BP, Pan American Energy, Amoco, BJ Services
- ✓ Subject matter expert in well completion and stimulation in conventional and unconventional reservoirs
- ✓Local technical authority on unconventional developments
- Extensive associated experience in drilling, cementing, well testing, production, reservoir engineering and integrated field development
- ✓ Specialist in integrated well studies
- ✓Part time lecturer at several universities
- ✓Member of SPE and IAPG
- ✓ Author of multiple publications and technical presentations
- ✓ Recipient of 2013 SPE regional award Completion & Technology Optimization
- ✓ Contact: jorge.ponce@grupocapsa.com.ar / jorgeenriqueponce@gmail.com / Linkedin





# "If you can't explain it simply, you don't understand it well enough".



Source: Getty Images

4 anstein

Source: Wikimedia Commons

# World Geopolitical & Economical Context

- Despite the increasing proliferation of alternative energy sources (renewables), oil and gas production will continue to play an important role in the global economy. When I say alternative energy, I mean electricity
  - Hydrocarbons not only provide energy but also derivatives that no other energy source can provide
- Oil price averages at ~80 USD/bbl. No signs of rise in the short term amid Ukraine and Russia conflict. OPEC has not communicated big changes for 2023
  - Oil price mostly decoupled from conflicts in Middle East
  - COVID caused a huge impact on worldwide traveling, still recovering. Uncertainty in global economy growth
- ✓ US ranks as the country with the highest oil and gas production in the world
  - A large portion of oil production comes from tight oil and gas mostly from tight and shale gas plays
  - Resurgence of downstream industry. Cheap gas is a major reason why
  - LNG export has been increasing steadily mainly due to reduction in gas supply from Russia to Europe
- Not all companies are making money in the shale business
  - More than 200 companies have filed bankruptcy in 2020
  - In response to Wall Street concerns, companies are managing production goals and focusing on returns to investors rather than optimizing the reservoir
  - Several merges between service companies as activity picks up
- Argentina on top of world issues has its own "difficulties". (Sorry, but I am limited in time to discuss this topic)

### **Unconventional Resources & Developments**



# US & ARG's Most Important Shale Plays





### Vaca Muerta in Numbers





Source: Perez-Drago et al, 2018

- ~35,000 km of extension. Slightly smaller than Belgium
- Prospective acreage spans through three provinces but ~80 % in Neuquén province
- Fluid windows may change in just few kilometers. VM produces from dry gas to black oil

#### Resources

- Oil: 555,000 MMbo (4 ° in the world)
- Gas: 2,327 Tcf (2° in the world)

Shale Play	Barnett	Marcellus	Haynesville	Woodford	Eagle ford	Vaca Muerta
Age, [MMy]	320	410	150	370	95	140
Extent, [km2]	13,000	250,000	23,000	28,900	5,000	30,000
Depth, [km]	2.0 - 2.6	1.2 – 2.6	3.2 – 4.2	1.8 – 3.4	1.2 – 4.2	2.0 – 3.5
PP Grad, [psi/ft]	0.44 – 0.5	0.4 - 0.6	0.75 – 0.94	0.4 - 0.65	0.4 – 0.8	0.6 – 1.1
Thickness, [m]	60 - 90	30 – 120	60 - 90	90 - 300	20 – 150	30 – 550
Porosity, [%]	4 – 5	10 – 11	8 – 9	3 – 9	4 – 15	4 – 12
Kerogen Type	Ш	II — III	III	II	Ш	Ш
Thermal Maturity, [Ro]	0.5 – 1.5	0.5 – 2	0.94 – 2.62	0.5 – 3	0.5 – 2.2	0.5 – 2.6
TOC, [%]	3 – 6	3 – 12	4 – 10	0.6 – 1	4.5 – 5.5	2 – 12
Slide 8						

# Vaca Muerta's Landing Points



- Stacked pay potential in multiple intervals
- Lateral variations in facies
- In the center of the basin there are multiple landing points, towards borders one or two landing points depending on local position
- Current focus on "La Cocina" (hot shale section) and the organic section
  - Some companies have tested other intervals
  - Not all VM is the same, need to understand differences to focus on development in the most promising landing points
- Companies still defining optimal horizontal and vertical spacing
  - Minimization of production interference
  - Mitigation of frac hits and casing deformation issues

Source: URTeC 1923793

# **Operating Companies Activity Progression in VM**



# Argentina Oil & Gas Production



#### ✓ Some facts

- Conventional fields are declining as they are in mature phase
- Vaca Muerta has been able to invert this trend going back to 2009's values. Historical records already reached!
- Argentina is the largest natural gas producer in South American region. Huge potential to produce LNG and export worldwide. Still infrastructure is a bottleneck that needs to be resolved
- Besides Vaca Muerta there is another deeper gas shale with large resources (Molles). Actually, first multi-fractured horizontal well in Argentina was completed in Molles prior to VM

### VM – Cumulative Oil Production Normalized to 2,500 m



# VM vs US Shale Oil Plays Comparison



### VM vs US Shale Gas Plays Comparison



### Key Technology Enablers in Shale Developments



#### Hydraulic fracturing



Source: Geologic Page

### **Some Basic Definitions**



- Do not say frack, frack or fraccing. Technically and grammatically speaking, those terms do not exist. The right term is fracture, and it is abbreviated as frac
- ✓ Fracture or frac stage
  - Each individual pumping of frac fluid and proppant
  - Spacing between fractures or frac stages
    - Distance between the first cluster of one stage and the last one of the subsequent one
- Clusters
  - Groups of holes perforated within the frac stage separated at even or uneven spacing with the aim of creating a productive fracture
- Spacing between clusters
  - Distance between two adjacent clusters
- ✓ Frac plug
  - Mechanical element that temporary isolate one frac stage from a subsequent one

### VM Well Construction Evolution



# Hydraulic Fracturing – A New Technology?

- First hydraulic fracture pumped experimentally in 1947 in Hugoton field, Kansas. Stanolind, who later on became into Pan American Oil Co and Amoco Oil Co was the operator
  - Objective: mitigate damage caused by drilling in an oil reservoir
  - 1,000 gal of gelled gasoline (napalm) and natural sand from Arkansas river
  - Klepper#1 well: well produced the same after testing
- ✓ Patent granted in 1948 to Farris (HOWCO), currently Halliburton
- In May 1949 (same day), HOWCO conducted the first two commercial treatments in Oklahoma and Texas
  - Both reported as successful
  - In 1953 patent was extended to other companies and the rest is history

concordant

- ✓ First technical paper on hydraulic fracturing by J. B. Clark in 1949
- ✓ Actually, mother nature is a pioneer on hydraulic fracturing
  - Magma dykes follow the same principles being the magma the frac fluid and proppant at the same time!



### ARG Vaca Muerta Shale Play – Frac Stages per Month



### ARG vs US's Shale Plays – Comparison at a Glance

- Five prolific basins concentrate mostly all unconventional production (BOE): Permian, Appalachia, Eagle Ford, Bakken and Haynesville Average lateral length: >3,000 m Stages pumped: >500,000 / yr.! Stages per well: 50 – 150+ Stage spacing: 10 - 100 ft Cluster per stage: 5 – 25 Spacing between clusters: 3 – 15 m Proppant per stage: 250,000 – 500,000 lbm Proppant intensity: 1,500 – 3,500 lbm/ft Fluid intensity: 50 – 65 bbl/ft
- Fluids: slick-water, hybrids and HVFR.

US

#### Argentina

- Only shale play in development: Vaca Muerta
- 1,818 wells drilled (71 % H) and 1,407 on production
- Lateral length: 1,500 4,400 m
- Stages pumped: >45,000 (since 2011)
- Stages per well: 25 65
- Stage spacing: 50 100 m
- Cluster per stage: 5 15
- Spacing between clusters: 5 20
- Proppant per stage: 450,000 600,000 lbm
- Proppant intensity: 1,500 3,500 lbm/ft
- Fluid intensity: 25 65 bbl/ft
- Fluids: hybrids & HVFR

### ARG vs US's Shale Plays – Latest Design Evolutions

- Longest lateral well: 6,114 m. (Marcellus) Stages pumped in a well: +145. (Marcellus) Stage spacing: 30 m
- Cluster per stage: 15

US

- Spacing between clusters: 3 m
- Proppant per stage: 760,000 lbm. (Haynesville)
- Proppant intensity: 5,000 lbm/ft. (Haynesville)
- Fluid intensity: 55 bbl/ft. (Bakken)
- Proppant: 100 mesh, 200 mesh, 400 mesh, 40/70

#### Argentina

- Longest lateral well: 4,477 m
- Stages pumped in a well: +70
- Stage spacing: 50 m
- Cluster per stage: 10
- Spacing between clusters: 4 m
- Proppant per stage: 4,500 6,000 lbm
- Proppant intensity: 3,000 4,000 lbm/ft
- Fluid intensity: 65 bbl/ft
- Proppant: 100 mesh, 40/70, 30/70

# **Technology Enablers – Geosteering**



#### Geology

- At seismic scale, in many cases, it looks relatively simple but at real scale is complex
- Navigation windows are in general narrow (<10 m)</li>
- It is not uncommon to cross thru faults not noticed in the seismic

#### RSS

- Latest technologies have allowed reducing drilling time, tortuosity and overall drilling cost
- Near-the-bit steering proves very useful

#### MWD/LWD

- MWD used for positioning
- GR is the standard tool but in specific wells full suite is run

# Technology Enablers – Plug & Perf Methodology



- Basics
  - Method allows setting a plug and perforating multiples zones "clusters" in a single-run on wireline pumping down in the horizontal section
  - Technology derived from conventional plug setting and casing perforation on wireline. Everybody knows it!

#### Technology evolution

- Addressable switches allows firing more than 25 guns if required plus setting the plug
- Quick perforating modules to reduce preparation time and increase efficiency
- New charges with consistent entry holes
- Continuous improvement in frac design from aluminum to degradable materials and from bulky to small-volume plugs
- Streamline cable to reduce friction, enhance reliability and to eliminate grease to pack-off

# **Technology Enablers – Frac Simulators**



Source: Jung et al. 2016

#### Challenges

- Hydraulic fracturing in horizontal wells is an extremely complex process as there are many interrelated variables. We do not know the whole physics!
- Even if we are fracturing, we need to integrate at least geology, drilling, geomechanics, rock mechanics, reservoir and production engineering, fluids engineering, well testing, etc.

#### Available solutions

- Several tools available in the industry that partly respond to current challenges
- Most of the time we do not have the information to feed in the simulators! Garbage in, garbage out!

#### Evolution and limitations

- Current focus on understanding clusters physics
- Logs do not have enough resolution to capture shale laminations
- Still debate among simulating tools developers about some basic parameters such as closure pressure and how to obtain it

### **Technology Enablers – Frac Fleets**



Source: rionegro.com.ar



Source: JPT, 2020

#### Where do we come from?

- Conventional frac fleets designed to pump relatively small to medium-size treatments for a short period. Easy maintenance or equipment replacement
- Low treatment pumping rates and moderate wellhead pressures
- 1,000 2,000 HHP pumps
- Low footprint. Fueled by gasoil
- Proppant, water and fuel logistics are not an issue
- New requirements (efficiency is paramount!)
  - New pumps with higher HHP per unit and higher output pressure. Ability to pump 24-7 if required
  - More efficient engines. Low maintenance. Low noise and emissions
  - Less iron. Focus on pumping rather on rigging up or testing lines
- Evolution (the future for Vaca Muerta)
  - 3,000 5,000 HHP pumps with new fluid ends for extended pumping time. Lower footprint as fewer pumps are required
  - Introduction of electric fleets powered by natural gas. More efficient, less noise and emissions. More expensive as well!
  - Monobore missiles to reduce rig-up time and minimize leaks

### Technology Enablers – Proppants & Related Issues



#### Evolution

- Initially wells fractured with ceramic proppant to take proppant strength out of the equation in productivity evaluation
- Treatments evolved to hybrid designs comprising ceramic and natural frac sand mostly imported from US
- Currently mostly all wells are fractured with national natural frac sand from different sources. Local sand equivalent to brown or Brady sand
- Mesh sizes have gone from 20/40, 30/60 and 100 mesh to 30/70 and 70/140
- Firstly, proppant was transported in big bags to location, but loading process was very slow. Currently modular solutions are the common practice

#### Next steps

- Use of wet sand (first field tests are being carried out). Reduction in manufacturing and transportation costs. Less emissions, dust and water utilization (ESG)
- In-basin sands

Source: bariteworld.com

### **Technology Enablers – Frac Fluids**



- Where do we come from?
  - Conventional hydraulic fracturing dominated by x-linked fluids
  - Relatively small volumes of frac fluid but costly (~5:1 XL vs SW cost)
  - Leak-off is an issue as permeability is relatively high
- Unconventional approach (very low permeability)
  - Low proppant concentration and huge frac fluid volumes ask for different fluids customized to transport proppant and reduce friction at low overall cost. High pumping rate provides some carrying capacity
  - Slick water is useful for low proppant concentration (< 2ppg). Cheap</li>
  - Hybrid designs including slick water, linear gel and x-linked gels when higher concentrations are required. Balanced cost but operationally challenging as products are different
  - Evolution
    - High Viscosity Friction Reducers (HVFR). A single product can behave as slick water at low concentration and as a x-linked fluid at higher concentrations, but it does not require crosslinkers
    - Viscosity and elasticity are important for proppant transportation
    - Simple chemistry, logistics and field operations. Use of produced water

# **Technology Enablers – Frac Monitoring**



#### You cannot control what you do not measure!

Even if more clusters provide larger contact area, trying to break down and making them to accept even distribution of frac treatment is a major challenge. Cluster efficiency decreases with cluster number

Cluster engineering is the key process behind production results

Tools and techniques (not all are listed):

- Not many tools can measure individual cluster production
- Fiber optics (DTS/DAS) is probably the best technology we have so far
- PLT can be used as well but it is intrusive and most of the times there are limitations in measuring low rates
- Downhole camera can be tricky as an eroded hole is a not always a point where a fracture was initiated
- Tracers are an alternative to test different designs in the same well, but they do not provide rate per individual cluster

### **Technology Enablers – Tracers**





#### Basics

- Chemical tracers. Liquid or solid water-based, oil-based and gasbased to measure each produced phase
- Injected while pumping hydraulic fractures with minimum disturbance at constant concentration. If possible one distinctive tracer per frac stage and a global one for all stages intended to measure water
- Tracers do not react or adsorb with either frac additives or rock
- Fluid samples taken during production provides insights about production evolution. Non-intrusive technology

#### What we get

- Evolution of production of each phase per frac stage
- Understanding of clean-up period
- Response of frac stages to operating changes (e.g., chokes). Chole management strategy optimization
- Distinction between frac and reservoir water
- Interference between wells (optimization of well spacing)
- Insight about frac effective production length
- Enhancement of frac designs

### Positive Well Economics = Profitability – This Never Changes!



#### Key drivers

- Profitability is the main driver for a successful development
- All other aspects underpin the primary driver
- Do not focus on highest IP nor lowest well cost
- Oil and gas prices are key components of economics so have a clear picture of the boundaries before it is too late
- EUR @ 30 yr. does not make any sense
  - If project does not fly in 3 5 yr., think it twice before moving on



### "...I never lose, I either win or learn..."



Nelson Mandela

### **Q & A Session**

Jorge Ponce Email: jorgeenriqueponce@gmail.com jorge.ponce@grupocapsa.com.ar Cell: +54 9 11 5914 2508

questions

Source: chartcons.com

OLUNTEE

who what

THANK

Source: latinschool.org